UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,700	09/25/2003	Eduard K. de Jong	SUN040023	9228
GUNNISON MCKAY & HODGSON, LLP 1900 GARDEN ROAD			EXAMINER	
			SHAN, APRIL YING	
SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/672,700	DE JONG, EDUARD K.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	APRIL Y. SHAN	2135				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>APRIL Y. SHAN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mr. Forrest Gunnison</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 April 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,8 and 9</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Zeman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/003264) and Kiddy (U.S. Patent No. 6,694,435).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the Applicant's representative discussed Applicant's newly submitted amendment. The examiner and the Applicant's representative exchanged opinions of the prior arts and newly submitted amendment. The Applicant's representative understands that the examiner needs to update her search for the newly submitted amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/April Y Shan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2135 Examiner's signature, if requir	 red				